

#### CONSULTANT SELECTION COMBINED CONSENSUS SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts Building

Project Number

2021-250

Name of Selection Panel Chair

**Chad Bedlington** 

Phase 1 - SOQ	Date:	9/8/2021				Number of Subm	nitting Firms:	9
			Panelist Names			DIVERSE	TOTAL	
Firms	Chad Bedlington	Kevin Barber	Tim Wheeler	Ed Jaramillo	Jerry Osborn	BUSINESS EQUITY & INCLUSION	PANEL RANKED	PHASE RANK ORDER
	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	STRATEGIES	SCORE	
1 HKP architects	5	5	5	5	6	Yes	26	(
2 Hacker & RMC Architects	7	6	4	2	2	Yes	21	4
3 Solomon Cordwell Buenz	9	8	8	8	8	Yes	41	1
4 Integrus Architecture	3	1	6	7	7	Yes	24	Ę
5 the design COLLECTIVE	8	9	9	9	9	Yes	44	9
6 Miller Hull Partnership	2	3	1	1	1	Yes	8	1
7 Mithun	1	2	3	4	3	Yes	13	2
8 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	4	4	2	3	4	Yes	17	;
9 Johnston & Graham Baba Architects	6	7	7	6	5	Yes	31	7
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
Phase 2 Interview	Date:	9/15/21-9/16/21	<u> </u>	I .		Number of Firms	Interviewed:	5
			D OF COMMITTE	E MEMBERO	<u> </u>			

LIIC	15C Z II ILCI VICW	Date.	9/13/21-9/10/21				MILLIDEL OF FILLIS	ilitei vieweu.	3
			RANK ORDE	R OF COMMITTE	E MEMBERS				
	Firms	Chad Bedlington	Kevin Barber	Tim Wheeler	Ed Jaramillo	Jerry Osborn	DIVERSE BUSINESS INCLUSION PLAN	TOTAL ASSIGNED RANKS	FINAL RANK ORDER
		Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order			
1	Miller Hull Partnership	1	1	3	4	2	Yes	11	2
2	Mithun	5	5	5	5	5	Yes	25	5
3	Schreiber Starling Whitehead	2	4	1	2	4	Yes	13	3
4	Hacker & RMC Architects	3	3	2	1	1	Yes	10	1
5	Integrus Architecture	4	2	4	3	3	Yes	16	4

Chad Bedlington

Chad Bedlington

Tim Wheeler
Tim Wheeler (Sep 22, 2021 08:44 PDT)

Jerry Osborn

Kempas

Kevin Barber

Eduardo Jaramillo
Eduardo Jaramillo (Sep 22, 2021 09:58 PDT)



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description
Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts
Building

Consensus Date

Project Number

9/8/2021

2021-250

Name of Selection Panel Member

Chad Bedlington

	CRITERIA	Diverse Business Inclusion Strategies		Qualifications of Key Personnel		vant	Past Performance		Life Cyc Anal Exper	ysis	Sustai Des Exper	ign		TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK ORDER
	Scores	Yes/ No	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	25%	Raw Score	25%	Raw Score	10%	Raw Score	10%	Raw Score	SCORE	ORDER
1	HKP architects	Yes	90.0	27.0	91.0	22.8	85.0	21.3	80.0	8.0	85.0	8.5		87.5	5
2	Hacker & RMC Architects	Yes	89.0	26.7	85.0	21.3	84.0	21.0	75.0	7.5	90.0	9.0		85.5	7
3	Solomon Cordwell Buenz	Yes	85.0	25.5	70.0	17.5	80.0	20.0	75.0	7.5	75.0	7.5		78.0	9
4	Integrus Architecture	Yes	95.0	28.5	91.0	22.8	94.0	23.5	95.0	9.5	95.0	9.5		93.8	3
5	the design COLLECTIVE	Yes	85.0	25.5	70.0	17.5	80.0	20.0	75.0	7.5	85.0	8.5		79.0	8
6	Miller Hull Partnership	Yes	95.0	28.5	95.0	23.8	93.0	23.3	92.0	9.2	92.0	9.2		93.9	2
7	Mithun	Yes	95.0	28.5	95.0	23.8	94.0	23.5	90.0	9.0	92.0	9.2		94.0	1
8	Schreiber Starling Whitehead	Yes	95.0	28.5	90.0	22.5	95.0	23.8	92.0	9.2	96.0	9.6		93.6	4
9	Johnston & Graham Baba Architects	Yes	90.0	27.0	85.0	21.3	88.0	22.0	80.0	8.0	90.0	9.0		87.3	6
10															
11															
12															
13															
14															
15															
16															
17															
18															
19															
20															
COI	MMENTS:												1	 1	

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

COMMENTS:

Chad Bea	llington
----------	----------

9/8/2021

Chad Bedlington



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts Building

Consensus Date

9/8/2021

Project Number

2021-250

Name of Selection Panel Member

Kevin Barber

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

CRITERIA	Qualification Person	•			Past Performance		Life Cycle Cost Analysis Experience		Sustainab Exper			TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK ORDER
Scores	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	25%	Raw Score	25%	Raw Score	10%	Raw Score	10%	Raw Score	 SCORE	
1 HKP architects	90.0	27.0	75.0	18.8	85.0	21.3	90.0	9.0	85.0	8.5		84.5	5
2 Hacker & RMC Architects	90.0	27.0	80.0	20.0	85.0	21.3	75.0	7.5	85.0	8.5		84.3	6
3 Solomon Cordwell Buenz	70.0	21.0	85.0	21.3	70.0	17.5	80.0	8.0	95.0	9.5		77.3	8
4 Integrus Architecture	90.0	27.0	90.0	22.5	95.0	23.8	95.0	9.5	85.0	8.5		91.3	1
5 the design COLLECTIVE	60.0	18.0	55.0	13.8	40.0	10.0	40.0	4.0	60.0	6.0		51.8	9
6 Miller Hull Partnership	90.0	27.0	85.0	21.3	85.0	21.3	95.0	9.5	95.0	9.5		88.5	3
7 Mithun	90.0	27.0	85.0	21.3	95.0	23.8	95.0	9.5	90.0	9.0		90.5	2
8 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	90.0	27.0	90.0	22.5	80.0	20.0	90.0	9.0	85.0	8.5		87.0	4
9 Johnston & Graham Baba Architects	90.0	27.0	80.0	20.0	65.0	16.3	65.0	6.5	85.0	8.5		78.3	7
10													
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17													
18													
19													
20													

Kempas

Kevin Barber Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts Building

Consensus Date

9/8/2021

Project Number

2021-250

Name of Selection Panel Member

Tim Wheeler

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

CRITERIA	Qualifications of Key Personnel		1 Relevant Experience   F		Past Perfe	Past Performance		le Cost cperience	Sustainab Experi	•		TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK
Scores	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	25%	Raw Score	25%	Raw Score	10%	Raw Score	10%	Raw Score	SCORE	
1 HKP architects	95.0	28.5	93.0	23.3	92.0	23.0	90.0	9.0	91.0	9.1		92.9	5
2 Hacker & RMC Architects	95.0	28.5	97.0	24.3	91.0	22.8	90.0	9.0	91.0	9.1		93.6	4
3 Solomon Cordwell Buenz	95.0	28.5	91.0	22.8	91.0	22.8	90.0	9.0	90.0	9.0		92.0	8
4 Integrus Architecture	95.0	28.5	93.0	23.3	92.0	23.0	90.0	9.0	90.0	9.0		92.8	6
5 the design COLLECTIVE	90.0	27.0	90.0	22.5	80.0	20.0	90.0	9.0	90.0	9.0		87.5	9
6 Miller Hull Partnership	95.0	28.5	94.0	23.5	96.0	24.0	97.0	9.7	91.0	9.1		94.8	1
7 Mithun	95.0	28.5	95.0	23.8	93.0	23.3	92.0	9.2	91.0	9.1		93.8	3
8 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	95.0	28.5	96.0	24.0	93.0	23.3	90.0	9.0	92.0	9.2		94.0	2
9 Johnston & Graham Baba Architects	95.0	28.5	93.0	23.3	90.0	22.5	90.0	9.0	90.0	9.0		92.3	7
10													
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17													
18													
19													
20													
COMMENTS:												• •	

Tim Wheeler

Tim Wheeler (Sep 22, 2021 08:44 PDT)

9/8/2021

Tim Wheeler Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts Building

Consensus Date

9/8/2021

Project Number
2021-250

Name of Selection Panel Member

**Ed Jaramillo** 

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

CRITERIA		fications of Key Personnel		Relevant Experience		ormance	Life Cycl Analysis Ex		Sustainab Exper	-		TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK
Scores	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	25%	Raw Score	25%	Raw Score	10%	Raw Score	10%	Raw Score	SCORE	
1 HKP architects	95.0	28.5	93.0	23.3	93.0	23.3	93.0	9.3	93.0	9.3		93.6	5
2 Hacker & RMC Architects	95.0	28.5	98.0	24.5	95.0	23.8	94.0	9.4	93.0	9.3		95.5	2
3 Solomon Cordwell Buenz	92.0	27.6	92.0	23.0	92.0	23.0	97.0	9.7	93.0	9.3		92.6	8
4 Integrus Architecture	93.0	27.9	90.0	22.5	97.0	24.3	90.0	9.0	93.0	9.3		93.0	7
5 the design COLLECTIVE	90.0	27.0	85.0	21.3	90.0	22.5	90.0	9.0	90.0	9.0		88.8	9
6 Miller Hull Partnership	95.0	28.5	95.0	23.8	97.0	24.3	97.0	9.7	93.0	9.3		95.5	1
7 Mithun	95.0	28.5	95.0	23.8	95.0	23.8	97.0	9.7	93.0	9.3		95.0	4
8 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	95.0	28.5	96.0	24.0	95.0	23.8	95.0	9.5	93.0	9.3		95.1	3
9 Johnston & Graham Baba Architects	95.0	28.5	95.0	23.8	90.0	22.5	95.0	9.5	93.0	9.3		93.6	6
10													
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17													
18													
19													
20													

Eduardo Jaramillo

Eduardo Jaramillo (Sep 22, 2021 09:58 PDT)



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET Project description Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts Building 9/8/2021 2021-250 Name of Selection Panel Member **Jerry Osborn** 

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

CRITERIA	1	Personnel		'   Relevant Experience		Past Performance		Life Cyc Analysis E		Sustainab Exper	-		TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	RANK ORDER
Scores	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	25%	Raw Score	25%	Raw Score	10%	Raw Score	10%	Raw Score	000.1.2		
1 HKP architects	90.0	27.0	90.0	22.5	88.0	22.0	90.0	9.0	95.0	9.5		90.0	6	
2 Hacker & RMC Architects	85.0	25.5	95.0	23.8	92.0	23.0	90.0	9.0	95.0	9.5		90.8	2	
3 Solomon Cordwell Buenz	80.0	24.0	90.0	22.5	90.0	22.5	95.0	9.5	95.0	9.5		88.0	8	
4 Integrus Architecture	88.0	26.4	88.0	22.0	95.0	23.8	88.0	8.8	88.0	8.8		89.8	7	
5 the design COLLECTIVE	70.0	21.0	70.0	17.5	70.0	17.5	70.0	7.0	70.0	7.0		70.0	9	
6 Miller Hull Partnership	95.0	28.5	97.0	24.3	95.0	23.8	95.0	9.5	95.0	9.5		95.5	1	
7 Mithun	80.0	24.0	95.0	23.8	93.0	23.3	98.0	9.8	98.0	9.8		90.6	3	
8 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	85.0	25.5	98.0	24.5	90.0	22.5	90.0	9.0	90.0	9.0		90.5	4	
9 Johnston & Graham Baba Architects	93.0	27.9	96.0	24.0	82.0	20.5	90.0	9.0	90.0	9.0		90.4	5	
10														
11														
12														
13														
14														
15														
16														
17														
18														
19														
20														
COMMENTS:												•		

9/8/2021

# CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

Project description

**Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts Building** 

Date of Evaluation

Project Number

9/15/21-9/16/21

2021-250

Name of Selection Panel Member

### **Chad Bedlington**

00.777014			r Hull	Mit	hun	ss	w	Hacker	+ RMC	Inte	grus
CRITERIA	Weighting	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	25%	95.0	23.8	94.0	23.5	92.0	23.0	94.0	23.5	93.0	23.3
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform ser	vices along v	with consulta	ant's capabi	lities and org	janizational	structure for	providing t	ne desired s	ervices.		
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	96.0	19.2	90.0	18.0	96.0	19.2	92.0	18.4	92.0	18.4
Walk us through a few example projects of similar scope, complex	ity, and size										
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	10%	95.0	9.5	93.0	9.3	95.0	9.5	95.0	9.5	93.0	9.3
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.											
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	30%	96.0	28.8	92.0	27.6	94.0	28.2	93.0	27.9	92.0	27.6
Scope management Understanding of this project Challenges and Opportunities			,			<b>,</b>		· <b>F</b>	ı	<b></b>	<b></b>
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS EXPERIENCE	8%	95.0	7.6	90.0	7.2	95.0	7.6	95.0	7.6	92.0	7.4
Does the Finalist team understand the value in a comprehensive Land ELCCA?	ife Cycle Co	st exercise i	in decision r	making? Are	they familia	ar with the C	FM require	ments? Are	they differe	ntiating betw	veen LCCA
SUSTAINABLE DESIGN EXPERIENCE	7%	99.0	6.9	92.0	6.4	95.0	6.7	95.0	6.7	94.0	6.6
What strategies have the Finalists indicated might be appropriate	for this proje	ct. How car	the sustair	ability strate	gys mesh w	vith the proje	ct budget.				
DIVERSE BUSINESS INCLUSION PLAN (indicate included or not included)	Not Scored	Y	es	Y	es	Ye	es	Y	es	Y	es
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	576.0		551.0		567.0		564.0		556.0	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			95.8		92.0		94.2		93.6		92.5
FINAL RANK ORDER			1		5		2		3		4
COMMENTS:							•		•		

Chad Bedlington

Sep 22, 2021

Chad Bedlington

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

# CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record** 

Project description

### **Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts Building**

Date of Evaluation

Project Number

9/15/21-9/16/21

2021-250

Name of Selection Panel Member

#### **Kevin Barber**

		Miller Hull		Mit	hun	ss	SW	Hacker	+ RMC	Inte	grus
CRITERIA	Weighting	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	25%	90.0	22.5	90.0	22.5	90.0	22.5	90.0	22.5	90.0	22.5
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform se	vices along v	with consulta	ant's capabi	lities and or	ganizational	structure for	providing t	he desired s	ervices.		
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	85.0	17.0	80.0	16.0	85.0	17.0	85.0	17.0	80.0	16.0
Walk us through a few example projects of similar scope, comple	xity, and size										
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	10%	80.0	8.0	75.0	7.5	75.0	7.5	85.0	8.5	80.0	8.0
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.											
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	30%	90.0	27.0	80.0	24.0	85.0	25.5	80.0	24.0	90.0	27.0
Scope management Understanding of this project Challenges and Opportunities											
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS EXPERIENCE	8%	75.0	6.0	80.0	6.4	60.0	4.8	80.0	6.4	80.0	6.4
Does the Finalist team understand the value in a comprehensive and ELCCA?	Life Cycle Co	st exercise	in decision I	making? Ar	e they famili	ar with the C	FM require	ments? Are	they differe	entiating betw	veen LCCA
SUSTAINABLE DESIGN EXPERIENCE	7%	95.0	6.7	85.0	6.0	85.0	6.0	85.0	6.0	85.0	6.0
What strategies have the Finalists indicated might be appropriate	for this proje	ct. How car	the sustair	nability strate	egys mesh v	vith the proje	ect budget.			•	
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	515.0		490.0		480.0		505.0		505.0	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			87.2		82.4		83.3		84.4		85.9
FINAL RANK ORDER			1		5		4		3		2
COMMENTS:											

Kevin Barber Sep 27, 2021

Date

# CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record** 

Project description

**Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts Building** 

Date of Evaluation

Project Number

9/15/21-9/16/21

2021-250

Name of Selection Panel Member

**Tim Wheeler** 

ODITEDIA	M	Miller Hull		Mit	hun	SS	SW .	Hacker	+ RMC	Inte	grus
CRITERIA	Weighting	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	25%	95.0	23.8	94.0	23.5	95.0	23.8	95.0	23.8	96.0	24.0
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform ser	vices along v	with consulta	ant's capabi	lities and org	ganizational	structure for	providing th	ne desired s	ervices.		
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	94.0	18.8	96.0	19.2	97.0	19.4	94.0	18.8	96.0	19.2
Walk us through a few example projects of similar scope, complex	ity, and size										
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	10%	96.0	9.6	92.0	9.2	92.0	9.2	94.0	9.4	93.0	9.3
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.											
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	30%	95.0	28.5	94.0	28.2	95.0	28.5	96.0	28.8	93.0	27.9
Scope management Understanding of this project Challenges and Opportunities		<b>,</b>		<b>,</b>	ļ	<b>,</b>					
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS EXPERIENCE	8%	95.0	7.6	97.0	7.8	98.0	7.8	96.0	7.7	96.0	7.7
Does the Finalist team understand the value in a comprehensive L and ELCCA?	ife Cycle Co	st exercise	in decision i	making? Are	they famili	ar with the C	FM require	ments? Are	they differe	ntiating betw	reen LCCA
SUSTAINABLE DESIGN EXPERIENCE	7%	96.0	6.7	95.0	6.7	95.0	6.7	95.0	6.7	96.0	6.7
What strategies have the Finalists indicated might be appropriate t	or this proje	ct. How car	the sustair	ability strate	egys mesh v	vith the proje	ct budget.				
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	571.0		568.0		572.0		570.0		570.0	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			95.0		94.5		95.3		95.1		94.8
FINAL RANK ORDER			3		5		1		2		4
COMMENTS:											

Tim Wheeler (Sep 22, 2021 08:44 PDT)

Tim Wheeler

Sep 22, 2021

### **CONSULTANT SELECTION** PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

### **This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Project description Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts Building Project Number Date of Evaluation 9/15/21-9/16/21 2021-250

Name of Selection Panel Member

#### **Ed Jaramillo**

CRITERIA	Mainhtin a	Miller Hull		Mitl	hun	SS	W	Hacker	+ RMC	Inte	grus
CRITERIA	Weighting	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	25%	95.0	23.8	95.0	23.8	95.0	23.8	95.0	23.8	95.0	23.8
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform serv	/ices along \	with consulta	ant's capabi	lities and org	ganizational	structure for	providing th	ne desired s	ervices.		
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	100.0	20.0	100.0	20.0	100.0	20.0	100.0	20.0	100.0	20.0
Walk us through a few example projects of similar scope, complex	ity, and size										
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	10%	94.0	9.4	92.0	9.2	95.0	9.5	99.0	9.9	94.0	9.4
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.											
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	30%	95.0	28.5	94.0	28.2	95.0	28.5	97.0	29.1	95.0	28.5
Scope management Understanding of this project Challenges and Opportunities	,,										
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS EXPERIENCE	8%	94.0	7.5	94.0	7.5	95.0	7.6	95.0	7.6	95.0	7.6
Does the Finalist team understand the value in a comprehensive L and ELCCA?	ife Cycle Co	st exercise	in decision I	naking? Are	they famili	ar with the C	FM require	ments? Are	they differe	ntiating betw	/een LCCA
SUSTAINABLE DESIGN EXPERIENCE	7%	95.0	6.7	95.0	6.7	95.0	6.7	97.0	6.8	95.0	6.7
What strategies have the Finalists indicated might be appropriate t	or this proje	ct. How car	the sustair	ability strate	egys mesh v	vith the proje	ect budget.				
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	573.0		570.0		575.0		583.0		574.0	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			95.8		95.3		96.0		97.1		95.9
FINAL RANK ORDER			4		5		2		1		3
COMMENTS:	-										

Eduardo Jaramillo Eduardo Jaramillo (Sep 22, 2021 09:58 PDT)

Ed Jaramillo

Sep 22, 2021

## CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record** 

Project description

Skagit Valley College - Library Culinary Arts Building

Date of Evaluation

Project Number

9/15/21-9/16/21

2021-250

Name of Selection Panel Member

**Jerry Osborn** 

CRITERIA	Weighting	Mill Hull		Mithun		ssw		Hacker & RMC		Integrus	
		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	25%	98.0	24.5	96.0	24.0	96.0	24.0	98.0	24.5	97.0	24.3
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform ser	vices along	with consulta	ant's capabi	lities and or	ganizational	structure for	providing t	ne desired s	ervices.		
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	98.0	19.6	96.0	19.2	97.0	19.4	98.0	19.6	97.0	19.4
Walk us through a few example projects of similar scope, complex	xity, and size										
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	10%	98.0	9.8	95.0	9.5	96.0	9.6	98.0	9.8	97.0	9.7
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.											
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	30%	98.0	29.4	95.0	28.5	96.0	28.8	99.0	29.7	97.0	29.1
Scope management Understanding of this project Challenges and Opportunities											
LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS EXPERIENCE	8%	99.0	7.9	98.0	7.8	98.0	7.8	98.0	7.8	98.0	7.8
Does the Finalist team understand the value in a comprehensive land ELCCA?	Life Cycle Co	ost exercise	in decision	making? Ar	e they famili	ar with the C	FM require	ments? Are	they differe	entiating betw	een LCCA
SUSTAINABLE DESIGN EXPERIENCE	7%	99.0	6.9	96.0	6.7	96.0	6.7	96.0	6.7	96.0	6.7
What strategies have the Finalists indicated might be appropriate	for this proje	ct. How car	n the sustair	nability strate	egys mesh v	vith the proje	ect budget.				
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	590.0		576.0		579.0		587.0		582.0	 
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			98.2		95.8		96.4		98.2		97.0
FINAL RANK ORDER			2		5		4		1		3
COMMENTS:		-	-								

*Jerry USDOPH*Jerry Osborn (Sep 22, 2021 08:39 PDT)

Jerry Osborn

Sep 22, 2021

## 2021-250-ScoresheetSummary

Final Audit Report 2021-09-27

Created: 2021-09-22

By: Angeline Ernst (angeline.ernst@des.wa.gov)

Status: Signed

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAAWsxqIT\_KUnwjCXw7rlgDHnoBc2NUrNwB

### "2021-250-ScoresheetSummary" History

- Document created by Angeline Ernst (angeline.ernst@des.wa.gov) 2021-09-22 3:35:15 PM GMT- IP address: 198.238.242.30
- Document emailed to Chad Bedlington (chad.bedlington@des.wa.gov) for signature 2021-09-22 3:38:01 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Kevin Barber (kevin.barber@des.wa.gov) for signature 2021-09-22 3:38:01 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Tim Wheeler (tim.wheeler@skagit.edu) for signature 2021-09-22 3:38:01 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Eduardo Jaramillo (ed.jaramillo@skagit.edu) for signature 2021-09-22 3:38:02 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Jerry Osborn (josborn@oaips.com) for signature 2021-09-22 3:38:02 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Jerry Osborn (josborn@oaips.com) 2021-09-22 3:38:39 PM GMT- IP address: 65.155.98.74
- Document e-signed by Jerry Osborn (josborn@oaips.com)

  Signature Date: 2021-09-22 3:39:57 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 65.155.98.74
- Email viewed by Tim Wheeler (tim.wheeler@skagit.edu)
  2021-09-22 3:43:30 PM GMT- IP address: 134.39.99.144
- Document e-signed by Tim Wheeler (tim.wheeler@skagit.edu)
  Signature Date: 2021-09-22 3:44:15 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 134.39.99.144
- Email viewed by Chad Bedlington (chad.bedlington@des.wa.gov) 2021-09-22 4:00:25 PM GMT- IP address: 198.238.242.30



Document e-signed by Chad Bedlington (chad.bedlington@des.wa.gov)

Signature Date: 2021-09-22 - 4:01:35 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.242.30

Email viewed by Eduardo Jaramillo (ed.jaramillo@skagit.edu)
2021-09-22 - 4:31:42 PM GMT- IP address: 24.56.205.80

Document e-signed by Eduardo Jaramillo (ed.jaramillo@skagit.edu)

Signature Date: 2021-09-22 - 4:58:00 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 24.56.205.80

Email viewed by Kevin Barber (kevin.barber@des.wa.gov) 2021-09-27 - 3:09:17 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.64.254

Document e-signed by Kevin Barber (kevin.barber@des.wa.gov)

Signature Date: 2021-09-27 - 3:09:56 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.242.30

Agreement completed. 2021-09-27 - 3:09:56 PM GMT